Omer Tamuz MIT Math / Caltech HSS

August 7, 2014

• Group of people who have to make a choice.

- Group of people who have to make a choice.
- Different people have different information.

- Group of people who have to make a choice.
- Different people have different information.
- People observe each other and communicate with each other.

- Group of people who have to make a choice.
- Different people have different information.
- People observe each other and communicate with each other.
- Social network.

- Group of people who have to make a choice.
- Different people have different **information**.
- People observe each other and communicate with each other.
- Social network.
- Decentralized / strategic.

- Group of people who have to make a choice.
- Different people have different **information**.
- People observe each other and communicate with each other.
- Social network.
- Decentralized / strategic.
- Convergence? Aggregation of information?

- Group of people who have to make a choice.
- Different people have different **information**.
- People observe each other and communicate with each other.
- Social network.
- Decentralized / strategic.
- Convergence? Aggregation of information?
- Modeling tools: probability, dynamics, game theory.

- Group of people who have to make a choice.
- Different people have different **information**.
- People observe each other and communicate with each other.
- Social network.
- Decentralized / strategic.
- Convergence? Aggregation of information?
- Modeling tools: probability, dynamics, game theory.
- Not models like in Physics.

• Choice between red and blue.

- Choice between red and blue.
- One is better than the other.

- Choice between red and blue.
- One is better than the other.
- Each person is initially told the correct choice with probability 0.51.

- Choice between red and blue.
- One is better than the other.
- Each person is initially told the correct choice with probability 0.51.
- A social network. Directed, strongly connected.

- Choice between red and blue.
- One is better than the other.
- Each person is initially told the correct choice with probability 0.51.
- A social network. Directed, strongly connected.
- Discrete time periods.

- Choice between red and blue.
- One is better than the other.
- Each person is initially told the correct choice with probability 0.51.
- A social network. Directed, strongly connected.
- Discrete time periods.
- Observe neighbors' choices, update own choice.

- Choice between red and blue.
- One is better than the other.
- Each person is initially told the correct choice with probability 0.51.
- A social network. Directed, strongly connected.
- Discrete time periods.
- Observe neighbors' choices, update own choice.
- Dynamics

- Choice between red and blue.
- One is better than the other.
- Each person is initially told the correct choice with probability 0.51.
- A social network. Directed, strongly connected.
- Discrete time periods.
- Observe neighbors' choices, update own choice.
- Dynamics
 - Conformist automata.

- Choice between red and blue.
- One is better than the other.
- Each person is initially told the correct choice with probability 0.51.
- A social network. Directed, strongly connected.
- Discrete time periods.
- Observe neighbors' choices, update own choice.
- Dynamics
 - Conformist automata.
 - Bayesian agents.



• Does each person converge?

- Does each person converge?
- If so, then does everyone converge to the **same** choice?

- Does each person converge?
- If so, then does everyone converge to the **same** choice?
- If so, then does everyone converge to the **correct** choice?

- Does each person converge?
- If so, then does everyone converge to the **same** choice?
- If so, then does everyone converge to the **correct** choice?
- Otherwise, does the **majority** converge to the correct choice?

- Does each person converge?
- If so, then does everyone converge to the **same** choice?
- If so, then does everyone converge to the **correct** choice?
- Otherwise, does the **majority** converge to the correct choice?
- When society is large then there's **enough information** out there to determine the correct choice with high probability.





• At each time period, each person updates her choice to match the majority of her neighbors.



At each time period, each person updates her choice to match the majority of her neighbors.
In case of a tie no changes are made.



- At each time period, each person updates her choice to match the majority of her neighbors.
- In case of a tie no changes are made.
- Deterministic dynamical system.

• Does everyone converge? To the same thing?

- Does everyone converge? To the same thing?
- Finite graph ⇒ finite state space ⇒ system must reach a periodic orbit or a fixed point.

- Does everyone converge? To the same thing?
- Finite graph ⇒ finite state space ⇒ system must reach a periodic orbit or a fixed point.
- The period two property.

- Does everyone converge? To the same thing?
- Finite graph ⇒ finite state space ⇒ system must reach a periodic orbit or a fixed point.
- The period two property.

Theorem (Goles & Olivos '80)

Every periodic orbit has period two.

- Does everyone converge? To the same thing?
- Finite graph ⇒ finite state space ⇒ system must reach a periodic orbit or a fixed point.
- The period two property.

Theorem (Goles & Olivos '80)

Every periodic orbit has period two.

 In other words: everyone eventually makes the same choice they made two time periods ago.

• What about infinite graphs?



• What about infinite graphs?

- What about infinite graphs?
- Maximum *d* friends.

- What about infinite graphs?
- Maximum *d* friends.

• $n_r(i) = \#$ of people at distance r from i.
Convergence

- What about infinite graphs?
- Maximum *d* friends.
- $n_r(i) = \#$ of people at distance r from i.
- Infinite period two property (Moran '95).

Convergence

- What about infinite graphs?
- Maximum *d* friends.
- $n_r(i) = \#$ of people at distance r from i.
- Infinite period two property (Moran '95).

Theorem (T. & Tessler, 2014)

The total number of times that *i* chooses differently than **two time periods ago** is at most

$$\frac{d+1}{d-1} \cdot d \cdot \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{d+1}{d-1}\right)^{-r} n_r(i).$$

Convergence

- What about infinite graphs?
- Maximum *d* friends.
- $n_r(i) = \#$ of people at distance r from i.
- Infinite period two property (Moran '95).

Theorem (T. & Tessler, 2014)

The total number of times that *i* chooses differently than **two time periods ago** is at most

$$\frac{d+1}{d-1} \cdot d \cdot \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{d+1}{d-1}\right)^{-r} n_r(i).$$

• Sufficient but not necessary.

• Take a graph in which everyone converges (to period two).

- Take a graph in which everyone converges (to period two).
- Does the majority converge to the **correct** choice?

- Take a graph in which everyone converges (to period two).
- Does the majority converge to the **correct** choice?
- Not in general. Berger '01: a sequence of finite graphs of size going to infinity, in which a group of 18 imposes its opinion, if all are in agreement.

• A transitive graph "looks the same from the point of view of each vertex".

• A transitive graph "looks the same from the point of view of each vertex".

Theorem (Mossel, Neeman & T. 2014)

On transitive finite graphs the majority converges to the correct choice with high probability.

• A transitive graph "looks the same from the point of view of each vertex".

Theorem (Mossel, Neeman & T. 2014)

On transitive finite graphs the majority converges to the correct choice with high probability.

Theorem (Benjamini et al. 2014)

On transitive unimodular infinite graphs the "majority" converges to the correct choice with probability one.

• Information flows well on **"egalitarian"** graphs.

- Information flows well on **"egalitarian"** graphs.
- Conjecture: information flows well on any bounded degree graph.

- Information flows well on **"egalitarian"** graphs.
- Conjecture: information flows well on any bounded degree graph.
- Related results with Yakov Babicheno on more complicated automata.

Bayesian agents



Witty and revealing ... For anyone thinking of doing an MBA, this is a must read' Luke Johnson, entrepreneur and *Financial Times* columnist

Bayesian agents



NEW YORK TIMES BESTSELLER

Witty and revealing ... For anyone thinking of doing an MBA, this is a must read' Luke Johnson, entrepreneur and Financial Times columnist



Bayesian agents



•
$$\mathbb{P}[\mathsf{red}] = \mathbb{P}[\mathsf{blue}] = \frac{1}{2}$$
.

- $\mathbb{P}[\mathsf{red}] = \mathbb{P}[\mathsf{blue}] = \frac{1}{2}$.
- Initial private signals X_i ∈ {red, blue}, correct with probability 0.51.

- $\mathbb{P}[\mathsf{red}] = \mathbb{P}[\mathsf{blue}] = \frac{1}{2}$.
- Initial private signals X_i ∈ {red, blue}, correct with probability 0.51.
- Need to add continuous noise to X_i .

- $\mathbb{P}[\mathsf{red}] = \mathbb{P}[\mathsf{blue}] = \frac{1}{2}$.
- Initial private signals X_i ∈ {red, blue}, correct with probability 0.51.
- Need to add continuous noise to X_i .
- $A_i(t) \in \{ \mathsf{red}, \mathsf{blue} \}.$

- $\mathbb{P}[\mathsf{red}] = \mathbb{P}[\mathsf{blue}] = \frac{1}{2}$.
- Initial private signals X_i ∈ {red, blue}, correct with probability 0.51.
- Need to add continuous noise to X_i .
- $A_i(t) \in \{ \mathsf{red}, \mathsf{blue} \}.$
- Agent *i* knows X_i, neighbors' previous actions.

- $\mathbb{P}[\mathsf{red}] = \mathbb{P}[\mathsf{blue}] = \frac{1}{2}$.
- Initial private signals X_i ∈ {red, blue}, correct with probability 0.51.
- Need to add continuous noise to X_i .
- $A_i(t) \in \{ \mathsf{red}, \mathsf{blue} \}.$
- Agent *i* knows X_i, neighbors' previous actions.
- Dynamics.

 $A_i(t) = \underset{a \in \{\mathsf{red}, \mathsf{blue}\}}{\operatorname{argmax}} \mathbb{P}\left[a | \mathsf{what} \ i \text{ knows at time } t\right].$

• Does everyone converge?

- Does everyone converge?
- Does everyone converge to the same choice?

- Does everyone converge?
- Does everyone converge to the same choice?

Theorem (Mossel, Sly & T. 2012)

If anyone converges then everyone converges, and to the same choice.

- Does everyone converge?
- Does everyone converge to the same choice?

Theorem (Mossel, Sly & T. 2012)

If anyone converges then everyone converges, and to the same choice.

• Does everyone converge to the correct choice?

- Does everyone converge?
- Does everyone converge to the same choice?

Theorem (Mossel, Sly & T. 2012)

If anyone converges then everyone converges, and to the same choice.

- Does everyone converge to the correct choice?
- It depends on the graph.

• *L*-locally-connected graphs

• *L*-locally-connected graphs

Definition

G is L-locally-connected if for every edge (i, j) there is a path from j back to i of length at most L.

• *L*-locally-connected graphs

Definition

G is L-locally-connected if for every edge (i, j) there is a path from j back to i of length at most L.

• 1-locally-connected is undirected. Relaxation of undirectedness.

• Locally connected graphs

• Locally connected graphs

Definition

G is **locally connected** if it is *L*-connected for some L.

Locally connected graphs

Definition G is **locally connected** if it is L-connected for some L.

• Not every strongly connected **infinite** graph is locally connected.

Locally connected graphs

Definition G is **locally connected** if it is *L*-connected for some *L*.

- Not every strongly connected **infinite** graph is locally connected.
- Compactness in the Benjamini-Schramm topology on strongly connected rooted graphs.

Locally connected graphs

Definition G is **locally connected** if it is *L*-connected for some *L*.

- Not every strongly connected **infinite** graph is locally connected.
- Compactness in the Benjamini-Schramm topology on strongly connected rooted graphs.
- Relaxation of **transitivity**, notion of **egalitarianism**.

The Royal Family

• The peasants all observe the royal family on TV.



The Royal Family

- The peasants all observe the royal family on TV.
- The combined signal of the royal family is very strong.


The Royal Family

- The peasants all observe the royal family on TV.
- The combined signal of the royal family is very strong.
- After two time periods everyone follows it.



The Royal Family

- The peasants all observe the royal family on TV.
- The combined signal of the royal family is very strong.
- After two time periods everyone follows it.
- But it still may be wrong.



Theorem (Mossel, Sly & T. 2012)

On every infinite, L-locally connected graph, everyone eventually makes the correct choice.

Information flows well on "egalitarian" graphs.

• Different models of opinion exchange dynamics.

- Different models of opinion exchange dynamics.
- Egalitarianism facilitates the flow of information.

- Different models of opinion exchange dynamics.
- Egalitarianism facilitates the flow of information.
- Thanks!